Colitis induces calcitonin gene-related peptide expression and Akt activation in rat primary afferent pathways
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ABSTRACT

Previous study has shown that colitis-induced increases in calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) immunoreactivity in bladder afferent neurons result in sensory cross-sensitization. To further determine the effects of colitis on CGRP expression in neurons other than bladder afferents, we examined and compared the levels of CGRP mRNA and immunoreactivity in the lumbosacral dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and spinal cord before and during colitis in rats. We also examined the changes in CGRP immunoreactivity in colonic afferent neurons during colitis. Results showed increases in CGRP mRNA levels in L1 (2.5-fold, p<0.05) and S1 DRG (1.9–2.4-fold, p<0.05). However, there were no changes in CGRP mRNA levels in L1 and S1 spinal cord during colitis. CGRP protein was significantly increased in L1 (2.5-fold increase, p<0.05) but decreased in S1 (50% decrease, p<0.05) colonic afferent neurons, which may reflect CGRP release from these neurons during colitis. In L1 spinal cord, colitis caused increases in the number of CGRP nerve fibers in the deep lamina region extending to the gray commissure where the number of phospho-Akt neurons was also increased. In S1 spinal cord, colitis caused the increases in the intensity of CGRP fibers in the regions of dorso-lateral tract, and caused the increases in the level of phospho-Akt in the superficial dorsal horn of the spinal cord. In spinal cord slice culture, exogenous CGRP increased the phosphorylation level of Akt but not the phosphorylation level of extracellular-signal-regulated kinase ERK1/2 even though our previous studies showed that colitis increased the phosphorylation level of ERK1/2 in L1 and S1 spinal cord. These results suggest that CGRP is synthesized in the DRG and may transport to the spinal cord where it initiates signal transduction during colitis.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Patients with active ulcerative colitis often experience pain, urgency, and incontinence (Bernstein et al., 1996; Drewes et al., 2006). Hyperalgesia is also observed in the rodents with experimental colitis induced by chemicals such as tri-nitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS), zymosan, acetic acid, mustard oil, or dextran sulphate sodium (DSS) (Burton and Gebhart, 1995; Coutinho et al., 1996; Larsson et al., 2006). The mechanism underlying the development and progression of colitis, and related hyperalgesia is unknown, but may be attributable, in part, to the neurochemical and electrophysiological changes in the primary afferent pathways including dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and spinal cord, which in return affects the efferent output and the development of inflammation (Okajima and Harada, 2006). The changes in the level of neurotransmitters, neurotrophins, or inflammatory cytokines in both peripheral tissue and the afferents in DRG and spinal cord during colitis may further lead to intracellular signaling kinase activation and gene transcription in the primary sensory pathways (Honore et al., 2002; Gebhart et al., 2002; Landau et al., 2007).

Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) is an important nociceptive marker and plays a major role in mediating hypersensitivity in many systems (Smith et al., 1992; Nahin and Byers, 1994; Zhang et al., 2001; Winston et al., 2003), and contributes to mucosal integrity and ulcer healing (Ohno et al., 2008). Following peripheral inflammation, more neurons had detectable CGRP expression in the innervating DRG, and mice lacking α-CGRP failed to develop secondary hyperalgesia after inflammation (Smith et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 2001). Administration of a CGRP receptor antagonist greatly attenuated the visceromotor reflex in rats with colonic inflammation (Plourde et al., 1997) and reduced TNBS-induced colonic hypersensitivity (Delafos et al., 2006), suggesting a prominent role of CGRP in colitis. Peripheral expression of CGRP has been well characterized (Evangelista and Tramontana, 1993; Miamamba and Sharkey, 1998; Dömötör et al., 2005; Clifton et al., 2007; Grider and Piland, 2007). However, the
expression levels of CGRP protein in the lumbosacral DRG and spinal cord during visceral and peripheral inflammation were either increased or decreased (Smith et al., 1992; Hanesch et al., 1993; Galeazza et al., 1995; Traub et al., 1999; Vizzardi, 2001). In the current study, we examined the CGRP mRNA levels and compared that with the changes in CGRP protein levels in lumbosacral DRG and spinal cord during colitis in order to identify if CGRP synthesis is affected by inflammation. Our previous studies (Qiao and Grider, 2007a) with neural retrograde tracing demonstrate that primary afferent neurons innervating the distal colon are distributed into two anatomic regions, L1–L2 (lumbar splanchnic afferents) and L6–S1 (sacral pelvic afferents) DRG, in rats. In the present study, spinal segmental levels from these two peaks are the primary regions we examined. We characterized the changes in CGRP mRNA and immunoreactivity in colonic afferent neurons from lumbosacral DRG and spinal cord at 3 and 7 days of colitis.

Previous studies show that activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK, also called extracellular-signal-regulated protein kinase ERK) and Akt (also called protein kinase B, a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase) by inflammatory and neuronal mediators in primary sensory and secondary order dorsal horn neurons participates in the generation and maintenance of inflammatory pain (Zhuang et al., 2004; Carrasquillo and Gereau, 2007). Recent studies demonstrated that CGRP is capable of activating PI-3 kinase/Akt and MAPK pathways in cultured cells and tissues (Parameswaran et al., 2000). To further characterize the role of central expression of CGRP in colitis, we examined the changes in Akt phosphorylation (activation) in lumbosacral spinal cord during colitis, and the effect of CGRP on Akt activity in the spinal cord. Our recent studies (Qiao et al., 2008) demonstrated that ERK1/2 was also activated in L1 and S1 spinal cord. Therefore, we further examined the effect of CGRP on ERK1/2 activation (phosphorylation) and compared with the Akt activation in the spinal cord. The results indicate that CGRP is likely to play a role in activating Akt but not ERK1/2 in the spinal cord during colitis.

Part of the data has been reported as an abstract form in the Digestive Disease Week Annual Meeting (Qiao and Grider 2005, 2007b).

Materials and methods

Animals and reagents

Adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (150–200 g) (Harlan Sprague Dawley, Inc., Indianapolis, IN) were divided into experimental (colitis induced by intracolonic instillation of TNBS in 50% EtOH) and control groups (intracolonic instillation of saline; or intracolonic instillation of 50% EtOH). Within these groups, some of the animals were injected with neuronal retrograde tracing dye to the smooth muscle layer of the distal colon to label colonic afferent neurons in the dorsal root ganglia (see below for dye injection). All experimental protocols involving animal use in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in Virginia Commonwealth University. Animal care was in accordance with the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC) and National Institutes of Health guidelines.

Chemicals used in this experiment were purchased from Sigma Immunocologicals (St. Louis, MO).

Retrograde labeling

Under anesthesia (2.5% isoflurane, SurgiVet, Smiths Medical PM, Inc. Waukesha, WI), the rat distal colon was exposed under a sterile environment with a lower abdominal incision. Neuronal tracing agent dicarbocyanine dye 1,1′dioetyl-3,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine (DiI, a solution of 25 mg in 50% methanol; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was injected into 10 sites (4 μl per site) into the muscle wall of the descending colon (5–7 cm proximal to the external anal sphincter) to label colonic afferent neurons innervating this area. A sterilized Hamilton syringe (10 μl size) was used for injection. To prevent labeling of adjacent tissues, the needle was left in place for 30 s after each injection and a cotton swab was held close to the injection site to wipe off any excess dye that might leak from the needle tip during the needle withdrawal. In this manner, no visible leakage of the dye was observed after each injection. Injections into the lumen, major blood vessels, or overlying fascial layers were avoided. The incision was closed with 4-0 sutures. The rats were allowed to survive until the harvest of the tissues. We also checked the leakage of the dye by examining the tissues surrounding the colon after euthanasia of the rats. No contamination of dye to other tissues was noticed. The numbers of neurons labeled by DiI in each segment-matched DRG showed no significant difference between EtOH-treated (control) animal and TNBS-treated colitic animal (Qiao and Grider, 2007a).

TNBS-induced colitis

To induce inflammation in the distal colon, fasted rats were anesthetized and TNBS was instilled into the lumen of the colon at a dose of 90 mg/kg (1.5 mL/kg of 60 mg/mL solution in 50% EtOH) through a syringe-attached polyethylene catheter via the rectum 6 cm proximal to the anus. Animals that received an equal volume of 50% EtOH served as control. All colonic instillations were performed under isoflurane (2%) anesthesia. To ensure exposure of the distal colon to TNBS or EtOH, rats were held head-down by lifting up the tail for 2 min. In some of the animals, colitis was induced four days after tracing dye injection for a proper transport of these dyes.

According to a previously validated grading scheme for colitis (Morris et al., 1989), we assessed the severity of colitis and only the rats with severe interstitial edema were used for the current study. In these rats, TNBS induced extensive ulceration, hyperemia, adhesion, edema, and changes in mucosal architecture. Two to 3-fold increase in the thickness of colonic muscle layer was also noticed in animals with colitis by measurement of the transverse section of the distal colon.

Perfusion and tissue harvesting

Intracardiac perfusion was performed for euthanasia of animals. Under anesthesia (3–4% isoflurane), animals were euthanized via perfusion first with oxygenated Krebs buffer (pH 7.4) (95% O2, 5% CO2) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. After perfusion, the spinal cord and both sides of the DRG were quickly removed and postfixed for 6 h. Tissue was then rinsed in phosphate buffered saline (0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4) and placed in ascending concentrations of sucrose (20%) for cryoprotection. DRG and spinal cord from level L1, L6 and S1 were identified (Qiao and Vizzard, 2002; Qiao and Grider 2007a) and the DRG was sectioned parasagitally at a thickness of 20 μm. Spinal cord segments were sectioned transversely at a thickness of 30 μm. Tissues from control and experimental animals were handled in an identical manner.

Immunohistochemistry

DRG sections were immunostained using an on-slide technique. Spinal cord sections were immunostained by free-floating method. Generally, sections were incubated with blocking solution containing 3% normal donkey serum (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA) in PBST (0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4) for 30 min by following rabbit anti-CGRP antibody (1:1000, Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, currently Millipore), or mouse anti-phospho-Akt antibody (1:400, Cell Signaling Technology Inc. Danvers, MA) overnight at 4 °C. After rinsing (3 × 10 min with 0.1 M PBS), tissues were incubated with fluorescence-conjugated species-specific secondary antibody Alexa 594 (1:500, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 2 h at room
temperature. Following washing, the slides were coverslipped with Citifluor (Citifluor Ltd., London). For sections containing Dil, Triton X-100 in all buffers used was substituted by 0.3% Tween-20 for the prevention of Dil fading. The background staining level was evaluated with staining in the absence of primary or secondary antibody. The specificity of CGRP antibody was determined by Western blot pre-absorption assay (Qiao and Grider, 2007a). Some of the sections were counter-stained with YoYo-1 (1:10,000; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

DRG cells with visible nucleus were counted with a Nikon fluorescent photomicroscope. CGRP cell profiles were counted in 6 to 10 sections randomly chosen from each DRG (L1, L6, and S1) examined. The cell profile counts were corrected with Abercrombie’s formula (1946) described recently by Guillery (2002) and expressed as mean ± SE for n animals. Specifically, each count was corrected by a fractional factor \( T/T + h \) (where \( T \) = section thickness of 20 \( \mu m \), \( h = 10.3 \mu m \), an average diameter of the nucleus of CGRP neurons). Within the specific segmental level of DRG (such as L1 DRG), the sections of similar size (i.e., L1, –2 mm²; L6, –2 mm²; and S1, –1 mm²) were chosen with the microscope built-in grids and all the positive cells were counted in the sections and expressed as number of cells per section. Between different segmental levels of the DRG (such as between L1 and L6 DRG), we did not normalize the results according to the size of the sections; therefore, we compared the difference between TNBS-treated and untreated animals for a specific segmental level of DRG. No comparison was made between different segmental levels of the DRG due to the size discrepancy at different spinal levels. We also counted the total number of neurons stained by YoYo-1, and calculated the percentage of DRG neurons expressing CGRP. For double staining analysis, cells expressing multiple dyes were counted simultaneously. The percentage of colonic afferent neurons (Dil-labeled) expressing CGRP was presented as mean ± SE.

The fluorescent images of the DRG and spinal cord sections were converted to a gray scale that ranges in intensity from 0 (black) to 255 (white) for the purpose of densitometry. For spinal cord scanning, the same number of standard sized rectangles was overlaid on the area of interest (i.e., superficial dorsal horn in this study) for each spinal section, with one rectangle chosen from the background staining area in the spinal cord for subtraction. Intensity measured within the rectangles was averaged as one point. For DRG scanning, a cell-sized circle was made to measure the positively stained CGRP neurons, or the neurons having background level of staining for subtraction. Ten neurons per section were randomly chosen for analysis.

### Protein extraction

Freshly dissected spinal cord segments were homogenized in solubilization buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 100 mM NaF supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, P8340) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 1 (Sigma, P2850). The homogenate was centrifuged at 20,200 \( g \) for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was removed to a fresh tube for further analysis. The protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA).

### Western blot

Proteins were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline for 1 h and then incubated with phospho-ERK1/2 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology Inc. Danvers, MA) or Akt (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology Inc. Danvers, MA). The immunoreactive bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence and the densitometric quantification of immunoreactive bands was performed using the software FluorChem 8800 (Alpha Innotech, San Leabdro, CA). The expression level of the target protein in control animal from each independent experiment was considered as 1, and the relative expression level of the target protein in experimental animals was adjusted as a ratio to its internal loading control in each independent experiment. For phospho-ERK1/2, the p42 and p44 bands were analyzed together.

### RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using a RNA extraction kit RNAqueous (Ambion, TX). RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically. cDNA was synthesized using Cloned AMV First-Strand Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with random hexamers. Following reverse transcription, quantitative real-time PCR was performed for CGRP with a Taqman probe mixed with PCR Master-Mix for 40 cycles (95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 1 min) on a 7300 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Quantitative real-time PCR of the same sample was performed for \( \beta \)-actin expression as internal control for normalization. The changes in mRNA levels of the test gene were calculated with \( \Delta \Delta Ct \) (change in the cycle threshold) method using \( \beta \)-actin level in the same sample as a normalizer. The expression level of target mRNA in the control (50% EtOH-treated) animal from each independent experiment was considered as 1, and the relative expression level of target mRNA in experimental animals was adjusted as a ratio to its control in each independent experiment and expressed as fold changes (2\( \Delta \Delta Ct \)-fold).

### Culture of spinal cord slices

Spinal cord segment L6–S1 was dissected from naïve animals, and transversely sectioned at a thickness of 250 \( \mu m \) with a tissue sectioner. The sections were randomly divided into several cell culture wells containing Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 200 U/mL penicillin, 200 mg/mL streptomycin, and 100 mg/mL gentamycin and cultured for 4–6 h. CGRP (250 mM) was added to the culture medium 5, 20, 40, 90 min prior to the collection of all slices (CGRP-treated or untreated) for assay. All cultures were maintained in a 10% CO₂ environment at 37 °C.

### Statistical analysis

The results from each study were presented as mean ± SE for n animals. Comparison between control and experimental groups was made by using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Differences between means at a level of \( p \leq 0.05 \) were considered to be significant.

### Results

#### Colitis-induced changes in CGRP expression in lumbosacral DRG

CGRP immunoreactivity showed differential changes in lumbosacral DRG at 7 days of colitis (n = 5) when compared to that from vehicle-treated control animals (n = 7). In L1 DRG, there were significant increases in the number of cells immunoreactive for CGRP (Fig. 1a: 1.9-fold increase, \( p < 0.05 \)) and the percentage of DRG neurons expressing CGRP (control: 10.4% vs TNBS: 21.8%) when compared to control; colitis did not cause changes in CGRP profile in L6 DRG (Fig. 1b); however, significant decreases in the number of DRG neurons immunoreactive for CGRP (Fig. 1c: 50% decrease, \( p < 0.05 \)) and the percentage of DRG neurons expressing CGRP (control: 15.3%
CGRP immunoreactivity in colonic afferent neurons before and during colitis

CGRP immunoreactivity was examined in Dil-labeled colonic afferent neurons (Fig. 2) from animals with 7 days of colitis (n = 7) or without colitis (n = 5). In the DRG examined, a subpopulation of colonic afferent neurons (Fig. 2a, red cells labeled with Dil) expressed CGRP immunoreactivity (Figs. 2b and c, cells indicated with white arrows). Not all colonic afferent neurons expressed CGRP (Figs. 2a–c, cells indicated with yellow arrow) or all CGRP immunoreactive neurons were colonic afferent neurons (Figs. 3a–c, cell indicated with purple arrow). In control animals, ~30–50% of Dil-labeled cells expressed CGRP (specifically in L1 DRG: 32.6 ± 4.5%, L6 DRG: 35.1 ± 2.4% and S1 DRG: 54.2 ± 3.0%). After TNBS-induced colitis, there were no significant changes in the percentage of Dil-labeled cells expressing CGRP in L1 or L6 DRG when compared to the matched segments from control animals (Fig. 2d), however, colitis caused a significant decrease in the percentage of Dil-labeled cells expressing CGRP in S1 DRG (50% decrease, p < 0.05) when compared to control (Fig. 2d). For this set of studies, intracolonic instillation of 50% EtOH (vehicle control) had no effects on CGRP expression in colonic afferent neurons in L1 and S1 DRG from control and TNBS-treated animals.

To examine if the changes in CGRP protein in DRG was due to parallel changes in CGRP transcript during colitis, we examined the CGRP mRNA level in L1, L6 and S1 DRG with quantitative real-time PCR (n = 4–5 animals for each treatment). Results showed no change in CGRP mRNA level in L1 DRG at 7 days of colitis, a time point when CGRP protein was increased (Fig. 1a). We then examined if CGRP mRNA was produced at an earlier time point in L1 DRG. Results showed that the level of CGRP mRNA in L1 DRG was significantly increased (2.5-fold, p < 0.05) at 3 days of colitis when compared to that from vehicle-treated control animals (Fig. 1d), suggesting that increased CGRP protein level was due to increased CGRP transcription in L1 DRG. In L6 DRG (Fig. 1e), there were no significant differences in the level of CGRP mRNA at any time points examined. In S1 DRG (Fig. 1f), CGRP mRNA level was increased at both 3 (2.4-fold, p < 0.05) and 7 days (1.9-fold, p < 0.05) of colitis when compared to that from control animal, but showed a time-dependent declination (7 days vs 3 days, p < 0.05).
neurons when compared to saline, thus, data from these two groups were combined and served as control to TNBS treatment.

CGRP expression in lumbosacral spinal cord before and during colitis

Colitis may also affect CGRP expression in the lumbosacral spinal cord since sensory afferent axons terminate at the region of spinal superficial dorsal horn. CGRP immunoreactivity showed either increase or decrease in L1 and S1 spinal superficial dorsal horn, deeper laminae, and the intermediolateral area at 7 days of colitis (see Fig. 4), however, these changes were not due to changes in CGRP mRNA level (Fig. 3) because the level of CGRP mRNA did not alter in L1 and S1 spinal cord at either 7 days of colitis or 3 days of colitis when 3 to 5 animals in each treatment were analyzed.

In L1 spinal cord, CGRP immunoreactivity was mainly expressed at the superficial dorsal horn laminae I and II (Figs. 4a, b). With colitis, significant increases in CGRP immunoreactivity were detected at dorsal horn region when compared to that from control animals (Figs. 4a, b and 5). In control animals, weak CGRP immunoreactive fibers were present in the deeper laminae region (Fig. 4c), and no apparent CGRP staining was present in the neurons of the intermediolateral cell column (Fig. 4e) in L1 spinal cord. With colitis, strong CGRP nerve fibers were present in the deep laminae region extending to the gray commissure (Fig. 4d, arrows). There were also increases in CGRP immunoreactivity in the area of intermediolateral cell column during colitis (compare f to e, arrow).

In S1 spinal cord, CGRP immunoreactivity was mainly expressed at the superficial dorsal horn laminae I and II (Figs. 4g–i). With colitis, no changes in CGRP immunoreactivity were observed in lamina I but a significant decrease in lamina II when compared to that from control animals (Figs. 4h, i and 5). In addition, strong CGRP fiber bundles (Fig. 4i, arrows) were present along the lateral edge of the superficial dorsal horn extending to the region of sacral parasympathetic nucleus (SPN). These CGRP fibers were not present in the control animals (Fig. 4h).

Effects of CGRP on ERK1/2 and Akt phosphorylation in cultured spinal cord slices

We previously reported that the level of phospho-ERK1/2 was increased in L1 and S1 spinal cord during colitis, and that exogenous BDNF increased ERK1/2 activation in the cultured spinal cord slices within 30 min of incubation (Qiao et al., 2008). In the present study, we further examined whether CGRP was also able to activate ERK1/2 in the cultured slices. Results from three independent experiments showed that exogenous CGRP (250 nM) was unable to activate ERK1/2 within 90 min of incubation (Figs. 6a, b), however, CGRP activated Akt in the same preparation at 20 min after stimulation and lasted till 90 min as examined (Figs. 6c, d), suggesting differential intracellular signaling pathways activated by BDNF and CGRP in the spinal cord.

![Fig. 2. Distribution of CGRP immunoreactivity in colonic afferent neurons during colitis. The distribution of CGRP (b, green cells, white and purple arrows) in colonic afferent neurons (a, Dil-labeled red cells, white and yellow arrows) was characterized by examining the percentage of Dil-labeled neurons expressing CGRP. In the merged image (c), colonic afferent neurons that contain CGRP are indicated with white arrows. Histogram (d) shows that the percentage of colonic afferent neurons expressing CGRP is decreased in S1 DRG following colitis (n = 7) compared to control (n = 5). There are no changes in the percentage of colonic afferent neurons expressing CGRP in L1 and L6 DRG. Sample photomicrographs show S1 DRG from control animal. Calibration bar = 80 μm. *p < 0.05.](image-url)

![Fig. 3. CGRP mRNA expression in lumbosacral spinal cord before and during colitis. Quantitative real-time PCR showed no changes in the level of CGRP mRNA in L1 and S1 spinal cord at both 3 and 7 days of colitis when compared to control (n = 3 to 5).](image-url)
Increases in the level of phospho-Akt in lumbosacral spinal cord in vivo during colitis

To examine if Akt was activated in the lumbosacral spinal cord during colitis in vivo, the L1, L6 and S1 spinal cord from control and colitic animals was homogenized for western blot analysis (Fig. 7a).

Results showed that the phosphorylation level of Akt was significantly increased in L1, L6 and S1 spinal cord at 7 days of colitis ($p<0.05$) when compared to segment-matched controls (Fig. 7b). This is very different from the changes in the phosphorylation level of ERK1/2 in lumbosacral spinal cord during colitis, where phospho-ERK1/2 was increased in L1 and S1 spinal cord but not in L6 spinal cord (Qiao et al., 2009).

**Fig. 4.** CGRP immunoreactivity in L1 and S1 spinal cord before and during colitis. CGRP immunoreactivity (IR) was mainly present in the superficial laminae (I–II) of the dorsal horn in both control and colitic animals (⁎ in a, b, g, and i). In L1 spinal cord, colitis was associated with the increases in the density of CGRP-IR at the dorsal horn region (compare b to a, ⁎), increases in the density of CGRP-IR fibers extending toward the central commissure (compare d to c, arrows), and increases in the number of CGRP neurons in the area of intermediolateral cell column (compare f to e, arrow). In S1 spinal cord, colitis causes decreases in the density of CGRP-IR in dorsal horn (compare i to h, ⁎), but increases in CGRP-IR fibers in a location resembling the Lissauer's tract. Dotted lines in a and b indicate the borders between gray and white matters in the spinal cord. Calibration bar = 200 μm in a, b; 80 μm in c, e, d, and f; 160 μm in h and i.
2008), suggesting region-specific changes in Akt and ERK1/2 during colitis.

Further analysis with immunohistochemical staining showed that colitis increased phospho-Akt immunoreactivity in both L1 and S1 spinal cord (Fig. 8). In L1 spinal cord, colitis induced increases in phospho-Akt immunoreactivity at the spinal superficial dorsal horn mainly lamina II (Fig. 8b, *) and increases in the number of phospho-Akt immunoreactive neurons at the region near the central commissure (Fig. 8b, arrows) where CGRP nerve fibers extended to (compare to Fig. 4b). In S1 spinal cord, phospho-Akt immunoreactivity was increased at the dorsal horn laminae I and II (Fig. 8d, *).

Discussion

The present studies demonstrate that colitis induces differential changes in CGRP mRNA and protein in lumbosacral dorsal root ganglia and spinal cord, with increases in CGRP mRNA and protein in L1 DRG; no changes in CGRP mRNA and protein in L6 DRG; and increases in CGRP mRNA and decreases in CGRP protein in S1 DRG. These results suggest that there may be more CGRP released from S1 DRG after increased production (transcription and translation) when compared to other DRG such as L1 DRG because we found that CGRP immunoreactivity was decreased in S1 colonic afferent neurons but not in L1 and L6 colonic afferent neurons during colitis. The differential changes in CGRP expression in different segmental levels of DRG may be due to the differential projections from colon to DRG as demonstrated in our previous study showing that retrograde dye only intensely labeled colonic afferent neurons in S1 DRG but with mixed intensity in other DRG, suggesting that the neurons in S1 DRG may have relatively higher responses to colonic irritation than the neurons in other DRG. These results may also reflect differential functional responses of lumbar and sacral sensory pathways to colonic inflammation. Differential mechanical sensory and chemosensory responses of these two afferent pathways have been reported in mice (Brierley et al., 2004, 2005). In addition, the current study shows differential changes in CGRP in lumbosacral spinal cord, with increased intensity of CGRP immunoreactivity in L1 spinal dorsal horn but decreased intensity of CGRP immunoreactivity in S1 spinal cord dorsal horn during colitis. Histogram shows increases in L1 and decreases in S1 spinal CGRP immunoreactivity during 7 days of colitis when compared to control. n = 4–5 animals for each treatment. *p < 0.05.

Fig. 5. Changes in the density of the CGRP immunoreactivity in L1 and S1 spinal dorsal horn during colitis. Histogram shows increases in L1 and decreases in S1 spinal CGRP immunoreactivity during 7 days of colitis when compared to control. n = 4–5 animals for each treatment. *p < 0.05.

Fig. 6. Effects of CGRP on spinal ERK1/2 and Akt phosphorylation. In a–d, S1 spinal cord was transversely sectioned at a thickness of 250 μm and incubated with CGRP (250 nM) for a designated time period indicated in a and c. Results showed that exogenous CGRP was able to increase Akt activation (d) but not ERK1/2 activation (b) in the spinal cord slices at 20 min of incubation (n = 3 independent experiments).

Fig. 7. Increases in Akt phosphorylation level in the spinal cord during colitis. Lumbosacral spinal cord L1, L6 and S1 from control and animals treated with TNBS were homogenized and phospho-Akt was measured by western blot (a). An increased expression of phospho-Akt was observed in L1, L6 and S1 spinal cord during colitis (n = 3 for each points). Histogram (b) shows relative levels of phospho-Akt expression in each segmental level examined. *p < 0.005.
dorsal horn; however, no change in the level of CGRP mRNA was noted in these spinal segments. There were also marked increases in the intensity of CGRP nerve fibers in L1 and S1 spinal cord. The changes in CGRP protein but not mRNA in the spinal cord during colitis may be due to the following biological processes: 1) an anterograde transport of CGRP from DRG to the spinal cord (Kashihara et al., 1989; Schäfers et al., 2002); 2) release of CGRP from the nerve terminals (Wick et al., 2006; Eberhardt et al., 2008; Merighi et al., 2008); and 3) degradation of CGRP once it binds to receptor (Padilla et al., 2007). The later may cause intracellular signaling kinase activation such as increases in Akt phosphorylation in these spinal segments.

Our previous study has demonstrated the segmental distribution pattern of colonic primary afferents in rat lumbosacral DRG which is that the colonic afferent neurons are located in L1, L2, L6 and S1 DRG (Qiao and Grider, 2007a). The two peaks of labeling are likely to be due to innervation from the hypogastric/splanchnic and pelvic afferents (Berthoud et al., 2004; Bielefeldt et al., 2005) respectively. Colitis had no effects on the number of cells in DRG labeled by the retrograde tracing dye (Qiao and Grider, 2007a). Recent studies demonstrated that TNBS-induced colitis increased the expression of TTX-R Na+ current density (Nav1.8) and transient receptor potential ankyrin-1 (TRPA1) in colonic afferent neurons (Beyak et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008). These results suggest that colitis induced minimum amount of DRG cell death if any, and colitis-induced decrease in CGRP expression in S1 colonic afferent neurons is not due to cell loss.

The involvement of CGRP in inflammatory conditions has been extensively studied. Previous studies utilizing CGRP knockout mice (Zhang et al., 2001, Thompson et al., 2008) or a CGRP receptor antagonist (Plourde et al., 1997; Delafoy et al., 2006) demonstrated a protective role of CGRP in experimentally-induced colitis and a role in mediating inflammation-induced hyperalgesia, visceromotor reflex and colonic hypersensitivity in rats with colonic inflammation. However, the central role of CGRP in inflammatory bowel disease is unknown. There are also no studies on CGRP mRNA and protein expression, and distribution patterns in the colonic extrinsic afferent pathways with colitis. Our present study showed that CGRP mRNA and/or protein were synthesized in lumbosacral DRG and suggested that they may anterogradely transport to the spinal cord during colitis. In the dorsal horn of the spinal cord where primary afferent axons terminate, the primary afferent terminals contain and secrete...
numerous excitatory neurotransmitters including glutamate, substance P, somatostatin, VIP, CGRP and neurotrophins (Donnerer et al., 1993; Honore et al., 2002; Malcangio et al., 2002; Szekely et al., 2002; Schmidtke et al., 2005). The level of these neuropeptides can be significantly affected by peripheral conditions such as inflammation or injury, and their release from the nerve terminals can further contribute to phenotypic and physiologic plasticity in the central nervous system (Krenz et al., 1999; Thompson et al., 1999; Sung et al., 2003).
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